

General Application Requirements (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name Rubicon Trail Foundation
- b. Organizational Unit
- c. Address PO Box 2188
- e. City Placerville State CA Zip 95667
- f. Federal Id Number 20-1397705 DUNS Number
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and January-01 day)
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☐ County ☐ U.S. Forest Service
- ☐ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☐ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☒ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G09-04-22-G01	Ground Operations	15,000	6,000	21,000
2	G09-04-22-S01	Education & Safety	75,000	31,000	106,000
3		TOTAL	90,000	37,000	127,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700614

3. Contact

a. Project Administrator

Name	Randy Burleson				
Title	Grants Administrator				
Mailing Address	7945 Amalfi Way				
City	Fair Oaks	State	CA	Zip	95628
Telephone	(916) 223-1608			Fax	
E-mail Address	randy.burleson@rubicontrailfoundation.or				

b. Authorized Representative

Name	David Gross				
Title	Financial Officer				
Mailing Address	1773 Nelson Way				
City	San Jose	State	CA	Zip	95124
Telephone	(408) 406-8296			Fax	
E-mail Address	fj40dave@pacbell.net				

Location Map

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[Rubicon Location, in El Dorado County](#)

Equipment Inventory

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☒ Yes ☐ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number
1	Unimog 416	Mercedes	416	1977	VIN: 41614110020977	G08-04-22-G01
2	Unimog SEE Tractor loader/backhoe	Mercedes	FLU-419	1987	Chassis: 4191011w142905 - SN:450712	G08-04-22-G01

Habitat Management Program (HMP)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern

--	--

Soil Conservation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Public Review Process

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/01/2010]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/02/2010]
- ☐ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☒ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

PUBLIC COMMENTS

RTF received overwhelmingly positive responses in meetings, conversations, and via OHMVR email. Few specific changes were requested.

Amy Granat lauded the modest price of RTF's Ground Operations proposal, especially with the complexity/significance of the work planned and underlined our good track record with grant fund and project completion overall. She highlighted the value of RTF's proposal relative to others, saying "I can't think of a better use for OHV grant money." She complimented RTF's sanitation & spills-prevention emphases, and the proven effectiveness and time-tested impacts of our methods, and the net direct benefit to the trail.

Mark Cave and Rusty Folena sent letters expressing their support for both proposals and the leadership that RTF shows in organizing and delivering them. Rusty and Mark reminded us of the ever-present risk of closure if we don't continue to educate users to minimize their impact and organize volunteers to mitigate issues and physically protect resources, and Mark and Rusty also stressed Rubicon's economic county & state value, and social/cultural value. Amy's commented further, underlining Rubicon's importance to OHV and 4WD users in the region, state, and nation, and noted that the focused popularity intensifies the need for education.

Ed Waldheim's general comments expressed a concern for management/administrative overhead, but RTF's proposals hold up well, since they focus primarily on directly delivering staff/tools for volunteers to use for education & maint., instead of funding management staff to oversee delivery. RTF's education grant drives the "Stay on Designated Trail" message that Ed supports, as well. Rick Ferdon weighed in more specifically to note his full support for both proposals and to laud RTF's passion and dedication in maintaining Rubicon and educating responsible use to its OHV users, and commented on our history of meeting and exceeding expectations and engaging monies received to the benefit of the trail, the forest, and users.

OHMVR COMMENTS

GEN APPLICATION

* added details to Explanation about Prevention of OHV trespass (patrol frequency)

* added details to Explanation about OHV Education (info available where, how frequently, how much of the time)

GROUND OPS

* added detail to Project Description to describe plans for using equipment (Materials moved will fill damaged areas & rock-armor existing trail to minimize OHV impacts; trailers will also be used for seasonal cleanup) and to explain how matching volunteer hours relate to grant (Maint. Workers match comes from volunteers driving/operating trailers)

* added details to Explanation about consequences of Failure to Complete (current equipment is insufficient and not completing drainage/maint. risks loss of OHV opportunity)

* added details to Explanation about how grant Sustains OHV Opportunity (sustains OHV Opportunity on Rubicon by providing equipment that will maintain trail tread and create/maintain drainage/erosion-control trail features; left unmaintained, trail becomes more difficult and excludes users without significant experience and major investment in equipment)

* added details to Explanation about Impacts to Natural/Cultural Resources (Materials transported in grant-funded trailers and operated by grant-matching maint. workers will fortify & maintain physical barriers to keep OHVs on-trail and to maintain drainage features, protecting water quality, reducing erosion, and preventing siltation of surface waters)

* added details to Explanation about how grant enables use of Recycled Materials (trailers will allow on-site rocks and off-site tree-farm waste logs to be recycled and used for barrier materials, erosion-control, and rock-armoring. Most offsite rock material is recycled 'tunnel muck' left-over from blasting/excavation of Loon Lake hydro)

EDUCATION

* added detail to Project Description to share more about how grant improves OHV Safety (RTF's Passive & Active Outreach/Education efforts include info on safe OHV recreation, and emergency HAM response, and safe heli-spots to allow air rescue. RTF trained 100s of users who have obtained their Amateur Radio (HAM) license and RTF planned/provided radio repeater near Spider Lake that provides year-round HAM coverage)

* added detail to Project Description to provide more details on Project Expense line item labeled Materials/Supplies – "Other Kiosk & Counting" (RTF obtains private property and USFS approval for extended camping for duration of volunteer/staffing efforts, and sets up tents, cots, and cooking stations to encourage volunteers to stay late & start early, and provides chairs, awnings, and counting/info supplies to enable effort.).

* added details to Explanation about how RTF effectiveness testing (Kiosk staff will exit-poll on effectiveness & appearance of Passive & Active Outreach/Education efforts)

* unchecked a box under Education Method criteria (already had max points)

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

The public comments were consistently positive and required no specific changes, but OHMVR's staff analysis was carefully considered and the following changes were made to improve the grant proposal thanks to these insightful comments -- please see the previous Section B: Public Comments discussion.

Certifications

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions: ☒
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:
2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

RTF's proposed grant program will support El Dorado County's ongoing Rubicon Trail Operations & Maintenance program.

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

☒ Yes ☐ No

2. Governing Body Resolution

Certification - Non Profits / Education

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

1. Written Agreement with Land Manager

Attachments:

[County Letter](#)

2. Verification of Nonprofit 501(c)(3) Status

Attachments:

[IRS Letter of Determination](#)

Evaluation Criteria

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700614

1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year) 01/2009

Ending (Month/Year) 12/2009

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity
- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months) 12
- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation 12
- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation 0
- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days) 8500
- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 708.33

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page
Rubicon Trail Foundation conducted user counts beginning Memorial Day 2009 on every weekend through Labor Day 2009.
- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 708.33
Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score

2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 10

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
- ☐ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
- ☒ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
- ☒ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 3 or more skill levels (5 points)
- ☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)
- ☐ 1 skill level (1 point)
- ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points) ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

4. Agency Contribution

Is the cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs) greater than \$0?. If NO, then No points. Go to item #5. (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If YES, enter cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs): 209000

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
☒ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
☐ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

El Dorado County DOT FY 2008-09 Approved Budget

5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
☐ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit.

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer items b and c) ☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ At least 5 days per week (5 points)
☐ At least once per week (3 points)
☐ At least once per month (1 point)
☐ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

For 2009, the following patrol and educational groups patrolled were on Rubicon, sharing the message against trespass:

- El Dorado County Sheriff Patrol gets on the trail about weekly between Memorial Day and Labor Day.
- USFS foot patrol staff was on the trail 3-5 days per week from June through the end of September.
- Rubicon Trail Patrol volunteers were on the trail 1-2 times monthly from June through the end of September.
- Friends of the Rubicon kiosk volunteers were at the kiosk 1-2 days per weekend from June through the beginning of September.
- Rubicon Trail Foundation Mid-Trail Staff were on the trail *daily* from June through the end of September, sometimes in multiples.
- Rubicon Trail Foundation and Friends of the Rubicon volunteers were at the Ellis Creek Counting Station every weekend and three mid-weeks from May through the end of September.

In aggregate and on average, these patrol and education efforts add up to coverage that exceeds five days per week.

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)
☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

Most private property borders near Rubicon are signed, monumented, fenced, or barricaded to minimize trespass into private property. As well, Rubicon Trail itself is marked with signs and reflectors through most of its length to minimize off-trail travel outside of the roadway.

El Dorado County has aggressively supported distribution of a volunteer-created map that clearly identifies and encourages respect for private property. Rubicon Trail Foundation and Friends of the Rubicon have cooperated with the County to distribute these maps at every major trailhead, as well as from the Loon Lake kiosk, and in the middle of the trail with the Ellis Creek Counting Station, Rubicon Trail Patrol and the Foundation's Mid-Trail Staff,

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)
- ☒ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 50 or more per year (3 points)
- ☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)
- ☒ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point)
- ☐ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 2

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Daily (5 points)
- ☐ On all weekends (4 points)
- ☒ On the majority of weekends (2 points)
- ☐ On major holidays (1 points)
- ☐ None of the above (No points)

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public: 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ At least 30 times per year (5 points)
- ☐ 18-29 times per year (3 points)
- ☐ 4-17 times per year (1 points)
- ☒ Less than 4 times per year (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to items a. - d.:

El Dorado County has been working with County Staff, Forest Staff, Rubicon Trail Foundation, Friends of the Rubicon, and a range of LEOs to develop in-person educational contacts on the trail, with linkage to their website and brochure (<http://www.co.el-dorado.ca.us/Rubicon/>). All parties are working to improve awareness of the four 'S' issues: sanitation, spills, and sedimentation, and safety. This awareness campaign includes on-site Education Materials stocked and distributed at the Kiosks at the trailheads, with additional support at the weekend Counting Station and from roving Mid-Trail Staff. Volunteers staff the kiosks on most weekends, and staff the Counting Station every weekend and Mid-Trail Staff on the trail most every day from May-September. Printed handouts describe safe and responsible OHV recreational practices and display a map to keep users on the trail, backed up by large trailhead signs that reiterate the 4-S message. RTF does additional public outreach during major trail events & trips.

9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (skip to question 10)
- ☒ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address <http://co.el-dorado.ca.us/rubicon/>

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map to location | <input type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation | <input type="checkbox"/> Safety information |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website | <input type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 3

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Billboards | <input type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community meetings | <input type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fairs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) [Convention Display Booth] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Television |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parades | <input type="checkbox"/> Radio |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Programs at schools | |

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer item b) ☐ Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12)

Explain 'Yes' response

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

- b. Resource Management Information System 0

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (No points) ☐ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

- a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (No points) ☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response El Dorado County DOT is using the California Geological Survey 2009 Report to determine the various soil conditions on the trail during saturated conditions.

- b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response El Dorado County DOT is using the California Geological Survey 2009 Report and updated Best Management Practices Toolbox to address soil issues.

12. Soil Management - Page 2

- c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ Monthly (3 points)

☐ After major rain events (2 points)

☒ Annually (No points)

13. Sound Level Testing

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 0

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

☐ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)

☐ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)

☒ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

There is no sound level testing at this time. DOT is currently not required to complete this effort.